

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,916	05/04/2001	Sunao Kakizaki	HITACHI-0015	7119
7590 01/24/2005			EXAMINER	
KNOBLE & YOSHIDA, LLC			NEGASH, KIN	FE MICHAEL
Eight Penn Center, Suite 1350 1628 John F. Kennedy Blvd.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Philadelphia, PA 19103			2633	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(
•	`

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/848,916	KAKIZAKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kinfe-Michael Negash	2633				
<i> Ti</i> Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication a eply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAI - Extension: after SIX (- If the period - If NO period - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION s of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 16) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a red for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statureceived by the Office later than three months after the mail tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ Re	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on the amendment of 8/27/04.						
2a)⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)⊠ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraim(s) 1-15 and 30-36 is/are allowed. aim(s) 16-29 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from consideration.					
Application	Papers						
10)⊠ The App Rep	e specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on 27 August 2004 is/are plicant may not request that any objection to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponds on declaration is objected to by the I	e: a) accepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is objection	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) <u> </u>	_ ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	References Cited (RTO 900)	n □ 1-4- · · · ·	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 (s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/848,916

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because the "Optical Line Performance Monitor Unit" in the proposed drawing corrections are not optical because the input/output to/from it is electrical. Moreover, the line directed from numeral 1120 in Figs. 14-15is pointing to the whole block or the Transmission Path Input Unit. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,916 Page 3

Art Unit: 2633

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 16-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not describe an Optical Line Performance Monitor. Moreover, what is alleged or shown to be an Optical Line Performance Monitor is not really optical.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 1-15 and 30-36 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The art of record taken separately or in combination fail to teach the limitations of the claims.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/24/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

With respect to the proposed drawing corrections, the examiner contends that the alleged Optical Line Performance Monitor is not really optical for the reasons indicated above.

As to applicant's argument with respect to the 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph rejection, the examiner maintains his rejection for the reasons indicated in the rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kinfe-Michael Negash whose telephone number is (571)272-3027. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571)272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2633

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kinfe-Michael Negash

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2633

KN

January 19, 2005